

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/913,707	HARBRON, STUART	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley	1641	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley.

(3) _____.

 (2) Bradley Ruben.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2005
Time: 1 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to advise applicant that this application was scheduled to go abandoned. The attorney stated that he and his firm no longer handled this case and he was unable to advise what firm now handled the case. The application is of British origin and PALM does not show any current attorneys of record.